Polymer 51 (2010) 5007-5023

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Polymer

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/polymer

# Feature Article Non-Newtonian flow in porous media

# Taha Sochi

University College London, Department of Physics & Astronomy, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 March 2010 Received in revised form 9 July 2010 Accepted 25 July 2010 Available online 11 August 2010

*Keywords:* Non-Newtonian Flow Porous media

# ABSTRACT

In this article we present a review of the single-phase flow of non-Newtonian fluids in porous media. The four main approaches for describing the flow through porous media in general are examined and assessed in this context. These are: continuum models, bundle of tubes models, numerical methods and pore-scale network modeling.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Newtonian fluids are defined to be those fluids exhibiting a direct proportionality between stress  $\tau$  and strain rate  $\dot{\gamma}$  in laminar flow, that is

 $\tau = \mu \dot{\gamma} \tag{1}$ 

where the viscosity  $\mu$  is independent of the strain rate although it might be affected by other physical parameters, such as temperature and pressure, for a given fluid system [1,2]. All those fluids for which the proportionality between stress and strain rate is violated, due to nonlinearity or initial yield-stress, are said to be non-Newtonian. Some of the most characteristic features of non-Newtonian behavior are strain- and time-dependent viscosity, yield-stress, and stress relaxation. Non-Newtonian fluids are commonly divided into three broad groups: time-independent, viscoelastic and time-dependent. However, in reality these classifications are often by no means distinct or sharply defined [1,2]. Those fluids that exhibit a combination of properties from more than one of the above groups are described as complex fluids [3], though this term may be used for non-Newtonian fluids in general. A large number of rheological models have been proposed in the literature to model all types of non-Newtonian fluids under diverse flow conditions. However, the majority of these models are basically empirical in nature and arise from curve-fitting exercises [4]. A few prominent examples of the non-Newtonian models from the three groups are presented in Table 1.

# 1.1. Time-independent fluids

Time-independent fluids are those for which the strain rate at a given point is solely dependent upon the instantaneous stress at that point. Shear rate dependence is one of the most important and defining characteristics of non-Newtonian fluids in general and timeindependent fluids in particular. When a typical non-Newtonian fluid experiences a shear flow the viscosity appears to be Newtonian at low shear rates. After this initial Newtonian plateau the viscosity is found to vary with increasing shear rate. The fluid is described as shearthinning or pseudoplastic if the viscosity decreases, and shearthickening or dilatant if the viscosity increases on increasing shear rate. After this shear-dependent regime, the viscosity reaches a limiting constant value at high shear rate. This region is described as the upper Newtonian plateau. If the fluid sustains initial stress without flowing, it is called a yield-stress fluid. Almost all polymer solutions that exhibit a shear rate dependent viscosity are shearthinning, with relatively few polymer solutions demonstrating dilatant behavior. Moreover, in most known cases of shear-thickening there is a region of shear-thinning at lower shear rates [4-6].

Fig. 1 demonstrates the six principal rheological classes of the time-independent fluids in shear flow. These represent shear-thinning, shear-thickening and shear-independent fluids each with and without yield-stress. It is worth noting that these rheological classes are idealizations as the rheology of these fluids is generally more complex and they can behave differently under various deformation and ambient conditions. Prominent examples of the time-independent fluid models are: power-law, Ellis, Carreau and Herschel-Bulkley. These are widely used in modeling non-Newtonian fluids of this group [7].





E-mail address: t.sochi@ucl.ac.uk.

<sup>0032-3861/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.polymer.2010.07.047

5008

#### Table 1

Examples of non-Newtonian rheological models

Model	Equation
Power-Law	$\mu = C \dot{\gamma}^{n-1}$
Ellis	$\mu = rac{\mu_o}{1+(rac{ au}{ au_{1/2}})^{lpha-1}}$
Carreau	$\mu = \mu_{\infty}+rac{\mu_{o}-\mu_{\infty}}{[1+(\dot{\gamma}t_{c})^{2}]^{rac{1-n}{2}}}$
Herschel-Bulkley	$\tau = \tau_o + C \dot{\gamma}^n (\tau > \tau_o)$
Maxwell	$ au+\lambda_1rac{\partial au}{\partial t}=\mu_o\dot{oldsymbol{\gamma}}$
Jeffreys	$ au+\lambda_1rac{\partial au}{\partial t}=\ \mu_oig(\dot{m{\gamma}}+\lambda_2rac{\partial\dot{m{\gamma}}}{\partial t}ig)$
Upper Convected Maxwell	$ au + \lambda_1 \overline{\dot{\tau}} = \mu_0 \dot{\gamma}$
Oldroyd-B	$ au+\lambda_1ar{arphi}=\mu_o(\dot{oldsymbol{\gamma}}+\lambda_2ar{arphi})$
Godfrey	$\mu(t) = \mu_i - \Delta \mu' \left( 1 - e^{-t/\lambda'} \right) - \Delta \mu'' \left( 1 - e^{-t/\lambda''} \right)$
Stretched Exponential Model	$\mu(t) = \mu_i + (\mu_{\inf} - \mu_i)(1 - e^{-(t/\lambda_s)^c})$

 $\overline{\nabla}$  upper convected time derivative,  $\alpha$  rheological parameter,  $\dot{\gamma}$  rate of strain,  $\dot{\gamma}$  rate of strain tensor,  $\lambda_1$  relaxation time,  $\lambda_2$  retardation time,  $\lambda' \lambda'' \lambda_s$  time constants,  $\mu$ viscosity,  $\mu_i$  initial-time viscosity,  $\mu_{inf}$  infinite-time viscosity,  $\mu_o$  zero-shear viscosity,  $\mu_{\infty}$  infinite-shear viscosity,  $\Delta \mu' \Delta \mu''$  viscosity deficits,  $\tau$  stress,  $\tau$  stress tensor,  $\tau_{1/2}$ stress when  $\mu = \mu_o/2$ ,  $\tau_o$  yield-stress, *c* dimensionless constant, *C* consistency factor, n flow behavior index, t time,  $t_c$  characteristic time of flow system.

# 1.2. Viscoelastic fluids

Viscoelastic fluids are those that show partial elastic recovery upon the removal of a deforming stress. Such materials possess properties of both viscous fluids and elastic solids. Polymeric fluids often show strong viscoelastic effects. These include shear-thinning, extension-thickening, normal stresses, and time-dependent rheology. No theory is yet available that can adequately describe all of the observed viscoelastic phenomena in a variety of flows. Nonetheless, many differential and integral constitutive models have been proposed in the literature to describe viscoelastic flow. What is common to all these is the presence of at least one characteristic time parameter to account for the fluid memory, that is the stress at the present time depends upon the strain or rate of strain for all past times, but with a fading memory [6,8–11].

Broadly speaking, viscoelasticity is divided into two major fields: linear and nonlinear. Linear viscoelasticity is the field of rheology devoted to the study of viscoelastic materials under very small strain or deformation where the displacement gradients are very small and the flow regime can be described by a linear relationship between stress and rate of strain. In principle, the strain has to be sufficiently small so that the structure of the material remains unperturbed by the flow history. If the strain rate is small enough, deviation from



**Fig. 1.** The six main classes of the time-independent fluids presented in a generic graph of stress against strain rate in shear flow.

linear viscoelasticity may not occur at all. The equations of linear viscoelasticity are not valid for deformations of arbitrary magnitude and rate because they violate the principle of frame invariance. The validity of linear viscoelasticity when the small-deformation condition is satisfied with a large magnitude of rate of strain is still an open question, though it is widely accepted that linear viscoelastic constitutive equations are valid in general for any strain rate as long as the total strain remains small. Nevertheless, the higher the strain rate the shorter the time at which the critical strain for departure from linear regime is reached [5,12,13].

The linear viscoelastic models have several limitations. For example, they cannot describe strain rate dependence of viscosity or normal stress phenomena since these are nonlinear effects. Due to the restriction to infinitesimal deformations, the linear models may be more appropriate for the description of viscoelastic solids rather than viscoelastic fluids. Despite the limitations of the linear viscoelastic models and despite being of less interest to the study of flow where the material is usually subject to large deformation, they are very important in the study of viscoelasticity for several reasons [5,12,14]:

- They are used to characterize the behavior of viscoelastic materials at small deformations.
- They serve as a motivation and starting point for developing nonlinear models since the latter are generally extensions to the linears.
- They are used for analyzing experimental data obtained in small deformation experiments and for interpreting important viscoelastic phenomena, at least qualitatively.

The two most prominent linear viscoelastic fluid models are the Maxwell and Jeffreys.

Nonlinear viscoelasticity is the field of rheology devoted to the study of viscoelastic materials under large deformation, and hence it is the subject of primary interest to the study of flow of viscoelastic fluids. Nonlinear viscoelastic constitutive equations are sufficiently complex that very few flow problems can be solved analytically. Moreover, there appears to be no differential or integral constitutive equation general enough to explain the observed behavior of polymeric systems undergoing large deformations but still simple enough to provide a basis for practical applications [1,5,15].

As the linear viscoelasticity models are not valid for deformations of large magnitude because they do not satisfy the principle of frame invariance, Oldroyd and others tried to extend these models to nonlinear regimes by developing a set of frame-invariant constitutive equations. These equations define time derivatives in frames that deform with the material elements. Examples include rotational, upper and lower convected time derivatives. The idea of these derivatives is to express the constitutive equation in real space coordinates rather than local coordinates and hence fulfilling the Oldroyd's admissibility criteria for constitutive equations. These admissibility criteria ensure that the equations are invariant under a change of coordinate system, value invariant under a change of translational or rotational motion of the fluid element as it goes through space, and value invariant under a change of rheological history of neighboring fluid elements [5,14].

There is a large number of rheological equations proposed for the description of nonlinear viscoelasticity, as a quick survey to the literature reveals. However, many of these models are extensions or modifications to others. The two most popular nonlinear viscoelastic models in differential form are the Upper Convected Maxwell and the Oldroyd-B models. Figs. 2-4 display several aspects of the rheology of viscoelastic fluids in bulk and in situ. In Fig. 2 a stress versus time graph reveals a distinctive feature of time dependency largely observed in viscoelastic fluids. As seen, the



**Fig. 2.** Typical time-dependence behavior of viscoelastic fluids due to delayed response and relaxation following a step increase in strain rate.

overshoot observed on applying a sudden deformation cycle relaxes eventually to the equilibrium steady-state. This timedependent behavior has an impact not only on the flow development in time, but also on the dilatancy behavior observed in porous media flow under steady-state conditions, as will be examined in Section 4. Fig. 3 reveals another characteristic feature of viscoelasticity observed in porous media flow. The intermediate plateau may be attributed to the time-dependent nature of the viscoelastic fluid when the relaxation time of the fluid and the characteristic time of the flow become comparable in size. This behavior may also be attributed to thixotropic buildup and breakdown due to sudden change in radius and hence rate of strain on passing through the converging-diverging pores. Fig. 4 presents another typical feature of viscoelastic fluids. In addition to the low-deformation Newtonian plateau and the shear-thinning region which are widely observed in many time-independent fluids and modeled by various timeindependent rheological models, there is a thickening region which is believed to be originating from the dominance of extension over shear at high flow rates. This feature is mainly observed in the flow through porous media, and the converging-diverging geometry is usually given as an explanation for the shift in dominance from shear to extension at high flow rates [4-6].

#### 1.3. Time-dependent fluids

Time-dependent fluids are those for which the strain rate is a function of both the magnitude and the duration of stress and



Fig. 3. Intermediate plateau typical of *in situ* viscoelastic behavior due to time characteristics of the fluid and flow system and converging–diverging nature of the flow channels.



Fig. 4. Strain hardening at high strain rates typical of *in situ* viscoelastic flow attributed to the dominance of extension over shear at high flow rates.

possibly of the time lapse between consecutive applications of stress. It is generally recognized that there are two main types of time-dependent fluids: thixotropic (work softening) and rheopectic (work hardening or anti-thixotropic) depending upon whether the stress decreases or increases with time at a given strain rate and constant temperature. There is also a general consensus that the time-dependent feature is caused by reversible structural change during the flow process. However, there are many controversies about the details, and the theory of time-dependent fluids is not well developed. Many models have been proposed in the literature to describe the complex rheological behavior of time-dependent fluids. These include Godfrey and the Stretched Exponential Model. In Fig. 5 the two basic classes of time-dependent fluids are presented and compared to the time-independent fluid in a graph of stress versus time of deformation under constant strain rate condition. As seen, thixotropy is the equivalent in time to shearthinning, while rheopexy is the equivalent in time to shear-thickening [4,16].

# 2. Modeling the flow of fluids

The basic equations describing the flow of fluids consist of the basic laws of continuum mechanics which are the conservation principles of mass, energy and linear and angular momentum. These governing equations indicate how the mass, energy and



Fig. 5. The two classes of time-dependent fluids compared to the time-independent presented in a generic graph of stress against time.

momentum of the fluid change with position and time. The basic equations have to be supplemented by a suitable rheological equation of state, or constitutive equation describing a particular fluid, which is a differential or integral mathematical relationship that relates the extra stress tensor to the rate of strain tensor in general flow condition and closes the set of governing equations. One then solves the constitutive model together with the conservation laws using a suitable method to predict the velocity and stress field of the flow. In the case of Navier-Stokes flows the constitutive equation is the Newtonian stress relation as given in Equation (1). In the case of more rheologically complex flows other non-Newtonian constitutive equations, such as Ellis and Oldroyd-B, should be used to bridge the gap and obtain the flow fields. To simplify the situation, several assumptions are usually made about the flow and the fluid. Common assumptions include laminar, incompressible, steady-state and isothermal flow. The last assumption, for instance, makes the energy conservation equation redundant [5,11,17-20].

The constitutive equation should be frame-invariant. Consequently, sophisticated mathematical techniques are employed to satisfy this condition. No single choice of constitutive equation is best for all purposes. A constitutive equation should be chosen considering several factors such as the type of flow (shear or extension, steady or transient, etc.), the important phenomena to capture, the required level of accuracy, the available computational resources and so on. These considerations can be influenced strongly by personal preference or bias. Ideally the rheological equation of state is required to be as simple as possible, involving the minimum number of variables and parameters, and yet having the capability to predict the behavior of complex fluids in complex flows. So far, no constitutive equation has been developed that can adequately describe the behavior of complex fluids in general flow situation [6,14].

#### 2.1. Modeling the flow in porous media

In the context of fluid flow, 'porous medium' can be defined as a solid matrix through which small interconnected cavities occupying a measurable fraction of its volume are distributed. These cavities are of two types: large ones, called pores and throats, which contribute to the bulk flow of fluid; and small ones, comparable to the size of the molecules, which do not have an impact on the bulk flow though they may participate in other transportation phenomena like diffusion. The complexities of the microscopic pore structure are usually avoided by resorting to macroscopic physical properties to describe and characterize the porous medium. The macroscopic properties are strongly related to the underlying microscopic structure. The best known examples of these properties are the porosity and the permeability. The first describes the relative fractional volume of the void space to the total volume while the second quantifies the capacity of the medium to transmit fluid. Another important property is the macroscopic homogeneity which may be defined as the absence of local variation in the relevant macroscopic properties, such as permeability, on a scale comparable to the size of the medium under consideration. Most natural and synthetic porous media have an element of inhomogeneity as the structure of the porous medium is typically very complex with a degree of randomness and can seldom be completely uniform. However, as long as the scale and magnitude of these variations have a negligible impact on the macroscopic properties under discussion, the medium can still be treated as homogeneous. The mathematical description of the flow in porous media is extremely complex and involves many approximations. So far, no analytical fluid mechanics solution to the flow through porous media has been developed. Therefore, to investigate the flow through porous media other methodologies have been developed; the main ones are the continuum approach, the bundle of tubes approach, numerical methods and pore-scale network modeling. These approaches are outlined in the following sections with particular emphasis on the flow of non-Newtonian fluids [21–23].

#### 2.1.1. Continuum models

These widely used models represent a simplified macroscopic approach in which the porous medium is treated as a continuum. All the complexities and fine details of the microscopic pore structure are absorbed into bulk terms like permeability that reflect average properties of the medium. Semi-empirical equations such as Darcy's law, Blake-Kozeny-Carman or Ergun equation fall into this category. Commonly used forms of these equations are given in Table 2. Several continuum models are based in their derivation on the capillary bundle concept which will be discussed in the next section.

Darcy's law in its original form is a linear Newtonian model that relates the local pressure gradient in the flow direction to the fluid superficial velocity (i.e. volumetric flow rate per unit cross sectional area) through the viscosity of the fluid and the permeability of the medium. Darcy's law is the simplest model for describing the flow in porous media, and is widely used for this purpose. Although Darcy's law is an empirical relation, it can be derived from the capillary bundle model using Navier-Stokes equation via homogenization (i.e. up-scaling microscopic laws to a macroscopic scale). Different approaches have been proposed for the derivation of Darcy's law from first principles. However, theoretical analysis reveals that most of these rely basically on the momentum balance equation of the fluid phase. Darcy's law is applicable to laminar flow at low Reynolds number as it contains only viscous term with no inertial term. Typically any flow with a Reynolds number less than one is laminar. As the velocity increases, the flow enters a nonlinear regime and the inertial effects are no longer negligible. The linear Darcy's law may also breakdown if the flow becomes vanishingly slow as interaction between the fluid and the pore walls can become important. Darcy flow model also neglects the boundary effects and heat transfer. In fact the original Darcy model neglects all effects other than viscous Newtonian effects. Therefore, the validity of Darcy's law is restricted to laminar, isothermal, purely viscous, incompressible Newtonian flow. Darcy's law has been modified differently to accommodate more complex phenomena such as non-Newtonian and multiphase flow. Various generalizations to include nonlinearities like inertia have also been derived using homogenization or volume averaging methods [24,25].

Blake-Kozeny-Carman (BKC) model for packed bed is one of the most popular models in the field of fluid dynamics to describe the flow through porous media. It encompasses a number of equations developed under various conditions and assumptions with obvious common features. This family of equations correlates the pressure drop across a granular packed bed to the superficial velocity using the fluid viscosity and the bed porosity and granule diameter. It is also used for modeling the macroscopic properties of random porous media such as permeability. These semi-empirical relations are based on the general framework of capillary bundle with

Table 2	
Commonly used forms of the three popular continuum models.	

Model	Equation
Darcy	$\frac{\Delta P}{L} = \frac{\mu q}{K}$
Blake-Kozeny-Carman	$\frac{\Delta P}{L} = \frac{72C'\mu q(1-\epsilon)^2}{D_p^2\epsilon^3}$
Ergun	$\frac{\Delta P}{L} = \frac{150\mu q}{D_p^2} \frac{(1-\epsilon)^2}{\epsilon^3} + \frac{1.75\rho q^2}{D_p} \frac{(1-\epsilon)}{\epsilon^3}$

various levels of sophistication. Some forms in this family envisage the bed as a bundle of straight tubes of complicated cross section with an average hydraulic radius. Other forms depict the porous material as a bundle of tortuous tangled capillary tubes for which the equation of Navier—Stokes is applicable. The effect of tortuosity on the average velocity in the flow channels gives more accurate portrayal of non-Newtonian flow in real beds. The BKC model is valid for laminar flow through packed beds at low Reynolds number where kinetic energy losses caused by frequent shifting of flow channels in the bed are negligible. Empirical extension of this model to encompass transitional and turbulent flow conditions has been reported in the literature [26,28].

Ergun equation is a semi-empirical relation that links the pressure drop along a packed bed to the superficial velocity. It is widely used to portray the flow through porous materials and to model their physical properties. The input to the equation is the properties of the fluid (viscosity and mass density) and the bed (length, porosity and granule diameter). Another, and very popular, form of Ergun equation correlates the friction factor to the Reynolds number. This form is widely used for plotting experimental data and may be accused of disguising inaccuracies and defects. While Darcy and Blake-Kozeny-Carman contain only viscous term, the Ergun equation contains both viscous and inertial terms. The viscous term is dominant at low flow rates while the inertial term is dominant at high flow rates. As a consequence of this duality, Ergun can reach flow regimes that are not accessible to Darcy or BKC. A proposed derivation of the Ergun equation is based on a superposition of two asymptotic solutions, one for very low and one for very high Reynolds number flow. The lower limit, namely the BKC equation, is quantitatively attributable to a fully developed laminar flow in a three-dimensional porous structure, while in the higher limit the empirical Burke–Plummer equation for turbulent flow is applied [29-31].

The big advantage of the continuum approach is having a closed-form constitutive equation that describes the highly complex phenomenon of flow through porous media using a few simple compact terms. Consequently, the continuum models are easy to use with no computational cost. Nonetheless, the continuum approach suffers from a major limitation by ignoring the physics of flow at pore level. Regarding non-Newtonian flow, most of these continuum models have been employed with some pertinent modification to accommodate non-Newtonian behavior. A common approach for single-phase flow is to find a suitable definition for the effective viscosity which will continue to have the dimensions and physical significance of Newtonian viscosity [32]. However, many of these attempts, theoretical and empirical, have enjoyed limited success in predicting the flow of rheologically complex fluids in porous media. Limitations of the non-Newtonian continuum models include failure to incorporate transient timedependent effects and to model yield-stress. Some of these issues will be examined in the coming sections.

# 2.1.2. Capillary bundle models

In the capillary bundle models the flow channels in a porous medium are depicted as a bundle of tubes. The simplest form is the model with straight, cylindrical, identical parallel tubes oriented in a single direction. Darcy's law combined with Poiseuille's law give the following relationship for the permeability of this model.

$$K = \frac{\epsilon R^2}{8} \tag{2}$$

where *K* and  $\epsilon$  are the permeability and porosity of the bundle respectively, and *R* is the radius of the tubes. The advantage of using this simple model, rather than other more sophisticated models, is

its simplicity and clarity. A limitation of the model is its disregard to the morphology of the pore space and the heterogeneity of the medium, as it fails to reflect the complex features of the void space. In fact the morphology of even the simplest medium cannot be accurately depicted by this capillary model as the geometry and topology of real void space have no similarity to a uniform bundle of tubes. The model also ignores the highly tortuous character of the flow paths in real porous media with an important impact on the flow resistance and pressure field. Tortuosity should also have consequences on the behavior of elastic and yield-stress fluids. Moreover, as it is a unidirectional model its application is limited to simple one-dimensional flow situations [26,33].

One important structural feature of real porous media that is not reflected in this model is the converging—diverging nature of the pore space which has a significant influence on the flow of viscoelastic and yield-stress fluids. Although this simple model may be adequate for modeling some cases of slow flow of purely viscous fluids through porous media, it does not allow the prediction of an increase in the pressure drop when used with a viscoelastic constitutive equation. Presumably, the converging—diverging nature of the flow field gives rise to an additional pressure drop, in excess to that due to shearing forces, since porous media flow involves elongational flow components. Therefore, a corrugated capillary bundle should be used for modeling such complex flow fields [32,34].

Ignoring the converging-diverging nature of flow channels, among other geometrical and topological features, can also be a source of failure for this model with respect to yield-stress fluids. As a straight bundle of capillaries disregards the complex morphology of the pore space and because the yield depends on the actual geometry and connectivity not on the flow conductance, the simple bundle model will certainly fail to predict the yield point and flow rate of yield-stress fluids in porous media. An obvious failure of this model is that it predicts a universal yield point at a particular pressure drop, whereas in real porous media yield is a gradual process. Furthermore, possible bond aggregation effects due to the connectivity and size distribution of the flow paths in porous media are completely ignored in this model. Another limitation of this simple model is that the permeability is considered in the direction of flow only, and hence may not correctly correspond to the permeability of the porous medium especially when it is anisotropic. The model also fails to consider the pore size distributions. Though this factor may not be of relevance in some cases where the absolute permeability is of interest, it can be important in other cases such as yield-stress and certain phenomena associated with two-phase flow [34,35].

To remedy the flaws of the uniform bundle of tubes model and to make it more realistic, several elaborations have been suggested and used; these include

- Using a bundle of capillaries of varying cross sections. This has been employed by Sadowski and Bird [36] and other investigators. In fact the model of corrugated capillaries is widely used especially for modeling viscoelastic flow in porous media to account for the excess pressure drop near the converging–diverging regions. The converging–diverging feature may also be used when modeling the flow of yield-stress fluids in porous media.
- Introducing an empirical tortuosity factor or using a tortuous bundle of capillaries. For instance, in the Blake-Kozeny-Carman model a tortuosity factor of 25/12 has been used and some forms of the BKC assumes a bundle of tangled capillaries [26,37]
- To account for the three-dimensional flow, the model can be improved by orienting 1/3 of the capillaries in each of the three

spatial dimensions. The permeability, as given by Equation (2), will therefore be reduced by a factor of 3 [35].

• Subjecting the bundle radius size to a statistical distribution that mimics the size distribution of the real porous media to be modeled by the bundle.

Capillary bundle models have been widely used in the investigation of Newtonian and non-Newtonian flow through porous media with various levels of success. Past experience, however, reveals that no single capillary model can be successfully applied in diverse structural and rheological situations. The capillary bundle should therefore be designed and used with reference to the situation in hand. It should be remarked that the capillary bundle model is better suited for describing porous media that are unconsolidated and have high permeability. This is in line with the fact that the capillary bundle is an appropriate model for a relatively simple porous medium with comparatively plain structure [38].

#### 2.1.3. Numerical methods

In the numerical approach, a detailed description of the porous medium at pore-scale with the relevant physics at this level is used. To solve the flow field, numerical methods, such as finite volume and finite difference, usually in conjunction with computational implementation are utilized. The advantage of the numerical methods is that they are the most direct way to describe the physical situation and the closest to full analytical solution. They are also capable, in principle at least, to deal with time-dependent transient situations. The disadvantage is that they require a detailed pore space description. Moreover, they are very complex and hard to implement with a huge computational cost and serious convergence difficulties. Due to these complexities, the flow processes and porous media that are currently within the reach of numerical investigation are the most simple ones. These methods are also in use for investigating the flow in capillaries with various geometries as a first step for modeling the flow in porous media and the effect of corrugation on the flow field [39,40].

# 2.1.4. Pore-scale network modeling

Pore-scale network modeling is a relatively novel method that has been developed to deal with the flow through porous media and other related issues. It can be seen as a compromise between the two extremes of continuum and numerical approaches as it partly accounts for the physics of flow and void space structure at pore level with affordable computational resources. Network modeling can be used to describe a wide range of properties from capillary pressure characteristics to interfacial area and mass transfer coefficients. The void space is described as a network of flow channels with idealized geometry. Rules that determine the transport properties in these channels are incorporated in the network to compute effective properties on a mesoscopic scale. The appropriate pore-scale physics combined with a representative description of the pore space gives models that can successfully predict average behavior [41,42].

Various network modeling methodologies have been developed and used. The general feature is the representation of the pore space by a network of interconnected ducts (bonds or throats) of regular shape and the use of a simplified form of the flow equations to describe the flow through the network. A numerical solver is usually employed to solve a system of simultaneous equations to determine the flow field. The network can be two-dimensional or three-dimensional with a random or regular lattice structure such as cubic. The shape of the cylindrical ducts includes circular, square and triangular cross section (possibly with different shape factor) and may include converging—diverging feature. The network elements may contain, beside the conducting ducts, nodes (pores) that can have zero or finite volume and may well serve a function in the flow phenomena or used as junctions to connect the bonds. The simulated flow can be Newtonian or non-Newtonian, single-phase, two-phase or even three-phase. The physical properties of the flow and porous medium that can be obtained from flow simulation include absolute and relative permeability, formation factor, resistivity index, volumetric flow rate, apparent viscosity, threshold yield pressure and much more. Typical size of the network is a few millimeters. One reason for this minute size is to reduce the computational cost. Another reason is that this size is sufficient to represent a homogeneous medium having an average throat size of the most common porous materials. Up-scaling the size of a network is a trivial task if larger pore size is required. Moreover, extending the size of a network model by attaching identical copies of the same model in any direction or imposing repeated boundary conditions is another simple task [7,43].

The general strategy in network modeling is to use the bulk rheology of the fluid and the void space description of the porous medium as an input to the model. The flow simulation in a network starts by modeling the flow in a single capillary. The flow in a single capillary can be described by the following general relation.

$$Q = G' \Delta P \tag{3}$$

where Q is the volumetric flow rate, G' is the flow conductance and  $\Delta P$  is the pressure drop. For a particular capillary and a specific fluid, G' is given by [44]

$$\begin{array}{ll} G' = G'(\mu) = \text{constant} & \text{Newtonian fluid} \\ G' = G'(\mu, \Delta P) & \text{Purely viscous non - Newtonian fluid} \\ G' = G'(\mu, \Delta P, t) & \text{Fluid with memory} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} (4) \end{array}$$

For a network of capillaries, a set of equations representing the capillaries and satisfying mass conservation has to be solved simultaneously to find the pressure field and other physical quantities. A numerical solver is usually employed in this process. For a network with *n* nodes there are *n* equations in *n* unknowns. These unknowns are the pressure values at the nodes. The essence of these equations is the continuity of flow of incompressible fluid at each node in the absence of sources and sinks. To find the pressure field, this set of equations has to be solved subject to the boundary conditions which are the pressures at the inlet and outlet of the network. This unique solution is 'consistent' and 'stable' as it is the only mathematically acceptable solution to the problem, and, assuming the modeling process and the mathematical technicalities are reliable, should mimic the unique physical reality of the pressure field in the porous medium [44].

For Newtonian fluid, a single iteration is needed to solve the pressure field as the flow conductance is known in advance because the viscosity is constant. For purely viscous non-Newtonian fluid, the process starts with an initial guess for the viscosity, as it is unknown and pressure-dependent, followed by solving the pressure field iteratively and updating the viscosity after each iteration cycle until convergence is reached. For memory fluids, the dependence on time must be taken into account when solving the pressure field iteratively. There is no general strategy to deal with this situation. However, for the steady-state flow of memory fluids of elastic nature a sensible approach is to start with an initial guess for the flow rate and iterate, considering the effect of the local pressure and viscosity variation due to converging–diverging geometry, until convergence is achieved [45].

With regards to modeling the flow in porous media of complex fluids that have time dependency in a dynamic sense due to thixotropic or elastic nature, there are three major difficulties

- The difficulty of tracking the fluid elements in the pores and throats and identifying their deformation history, as the path followed by these elements is random and can have several unpredictable outcomes.
- Mixing of fluid elements with various deformation history in the individual pores and throats. As a result, the viscosity is not a well defined property of the fluid in the pores and throats.
- Change of viscosity along the streamline since the deformation history is continually changing over the path of each fluid element.

These difficulties can be ignored when dealing with cases of steady-state flow. Despite all these complications, network modeling in its current state is a simplistic approach that models the flow in ideal situations. Most network models rely on various simplifying assumptions and disregard important physical processes that have strong influence on the flow. One such simplification is the use of geometrically uniform network elements to represent the flow channels. Viscoelasticity and yieldstress are among the physical processes that are compromised by this idealization of void space. Another simplification is the dissociation of various flow phenomena. For example, in modeling the flow of yield-stress fluids through porous media an implicit assumption is usually made that there is no time dependency or viscoelasticity. This assumption, however, can be reasonable in the case of modeling the dominant effect and may be valid in practical situations where other effects are absent or insignificant. A third simplification is the adoption of no-slip-at-wall condition. This widely accepted assumption means that the fluid at the boundary is stagnant relative to the solid boundary. The effect of slip, which includes reducing shear-related effects and influencing yield-stress behavior, is very important in certain circumstances and cannot be ignored. However, this assumption is not far from reality in many other situations. Furthermore, wall roughness, which is the rule in porous media, usually prevents wall slip or reduces its effect. Other physical phenomena that can be incorporated in the network models to make it more realistic include retention (e.g. by adsorption or mechanical trapping) and wall exclusion. Most current network models do not take account of these phenomena [44,46].

# 3. Yield-stress

Yield-stress or viscoplastic fluids are characterized by their ability to sustain shear stresses, that is a certain amount of stress must be exceeded before the flow initiates. So an ideal yield-stress fluid is a solid before yield and a fluid after. Accordingly, the viscosity of the substance changes from an infinite to a finite value. However, the physical situation indicates that it is more realistic to regard a vield-stress substance as a fluid whose viscosity as a function of applied stress has a discontinuity as it drops sharply from a very high value on exceeding a critical stress. There are many controversies and unsettled issues in the non-Newtonian literature about yield-stress phenomenon and yield-stress fluids. In fact, even the concept of a yield-stress has received much recent criticism, with evidence presented to suggest that most materials weakly yield or creep near zero strain rate. The supporting argument is that any material will flow provided that one waits long enough. These conceptual difficulties are backed by practical and experimental complications. For example, the value of yield-stress for a particular fluid is difficult to measure consistently and it may vary by more than an order of magnitude depending on the measurement technique. Several constitutive equations to describe liquids with yield-stress are in use; the most popular ones are Bingham, Casson and Herschel-Bulkley. Some have suggested that the yield-stress values obtained via such models should be considered model parameters and not real material properties [5,12,47,48].

There are several difficulties in working with yield-stress fluids and validating the experimental data. One difficulty is that yieldstress value is usually obtained by extrapolating a plot of shear stress to zero shear rate [12,49,50]. This can result in a variety of values for vield-stress, depending on the distance from the shear stress axis experimentally accessible by the instrument used. The vast majority of yield-stress data reported results from such extrapolations, making most values in the literature instrumentdependent. Another method used to measure yield-stress is by lowering the shear rate until the shear stress approaches a constant. This may be described as the dynamic yield-stress. The results obtained using such methods may not agree with the static vield-stress measured directly without disturbing the microstructure during the measurement. The latter is more relevant to the flow initiation under gradual increase in pressure gradient as in the case of flow in porous media. Consequently, the accuracy of the predictions made using flow simulation models in conjunction with such experimental data is limited [12,13].

Another difficulty is that while in the case of pipe flow the yieldstress value is a property of the fluid, in the case of flow in porous media there are strong indications that in a number of situations it may depend on both the fluid and the porous medium itself. One possible explanation is that yield-stress value may depend on the size and shape of the pore space when the polymer molecules become comparable in size to the pore. The implicit assumption that yield-stress value at pore-scale is the same as the value at bulk may not be self evident. This makes the predictions of the models based on analytical solution to the flow in a uniformly-shaped tube combined with the bulk rheology less accurate. When the duct size is small, as it is usually the case in porous media, flow of macromolecule solutions normally displays deviations from predictions based on corresponding viscometric data. Moreover, the highly complex shape of flow paths in porous media must have a strong impact on the actual yield point, and this feature is lost by modeling these paths with ducts of idealized geometry. Consequently, the concept of equivalent radius  $R_{eq}$ , which is used in network modeling, though is completely appropriate for Newtonian fluids and reasonably appropriate for purely viscous non-Newtonian fluids with no yield-stress, seems inappropriate for yield-stress fluids as yield depends on the actual shape of the void space rather than the equivalent radius and flow conductance [51–53].

# 3.1. Modeling yield-stress in porous media

In this section we outline the failure of the four approaches for modeling the flow through porous media in dealing with the flow of yield-stress fluids. It is apparent that no continuum model can predict the yield point of a yield-stress fluid in complex porous media. The reason is that these models do not account for the complex geometry and topology of the void space. As the yield point depends on the fine details of the pore space structure, no continuum model is expected to predict the threshold yield pressure (TYP) of yield-stress fluids in porous media. The continuum models also fail to predict the flow rate, at least at transition stage where the medium is partly conducting, because according to these models the medium is either fully blocked or fully flowing whereas in reality the yield in porous medium is a gradual process.

Regarding the capillary bundle models, the situation is similar to the continuum models as they predict a single universal yield if a uniform bundle of capillaries is assumed. Moreover, because all capillary bundle models fail to capture the topology and geometry of complex porous media they cannot predict the yield point and describe the flow rate of yield-stress fluids in porous media since yield is highly dependent on the fine details of the void space. An important aspect of the geometry of real porous media which strongly affects the yield point and flow rate of yield-stress fluids is the converging—diverging nature of the flow paths. This feature is not reflected by the bundle of uniform capillaries models. Another feature is the connectivity of the flow channels where bond aggregation (i.e. how the throats are distributed and arranged) strongly affects yield behavior.

Concerning the application of numerical methods to yield-stress fluids in porous media, very few studies can be found on this subject (e.g. [54]). Moreover, the results, which are reported only for very simple cases of porous media, cannot be fully assessed. Therefore, network modeling is the most viable candidate for modeling yield-stress fluids in porous media. However, because the research in this field is limited, no final conclusion on the merit of this approach can be reached. Nonetheless, the modest success in modeling yield-stress as experienced by some investigators (e.g. Balhoff [55] and Sochi [44]) indicates that network modeling in its current state is not capable of dealing with such a complex phenomenon. One possible reason is the comparative simplicity of the rheological models, such as Bingham, used in these investigations. These models can possibly offer a phenomenological description of yield-stress in simple flow situations but are certainly unable to accommodate the underlying physics at pore level in complex porous media. Consequently, yield-stress as a model parameter obtained in bulk viscometry may not be appropriate to use in this complex situation. Another reason is the experimental difficulties associated with vield-stress fluids. This can make the experimental results subject to complications, such as viscoelasticity and retention, that may not be accounted for in the network model. A third reason is the relative simplicity of the current network modeling approach to yield-stress fluids. This is supported by the fact that better results are usually obtained for non-yield-stress fluids using the same network modeling techniques. One major limitation of the current network models with regard to yield-stress fluids is the use of analytical expressions for cylindrical tubes based on the concept of equivalent radius  $R_{eq}$ . This is far from reality where the void space retains highly complex shape and connectivity. Consequently, the yield condition for cylindrical capillaries becomes invalid approximation to the yield condition for the intricate flow paths in porous media.

In summary, yield-stress fluid results are extremely sensitive to how the fluid is characterized, how the void space is described and how the yield process is modeled. In the absence of a comprehensive and precise incorporation of all these factors in the network model, pore-scale modeling of yield-stress fluids in porous media remains a crude approximation that may not produce quantitatively sensible predictions. The final conclusion is that yield-stress is a problematic phenomenon, and hence very modest success has been achieved in this area by any one of the four modeling approaches.

# 3.2. Predicting threshold yield pressure (TYP)

Here we discuss the attempts to predict the yield point of a complex porous medium from the void space description and yield-stress value of an ideal yield-stress fluid without modeling the flow process. In the literature of yield-stress we can find two well developed methods proposed for predicting the yield point of a morphologically-complex network that depicts a porous medium; these are the Minimum Threshold Path (MTP) and the percolation theory. In this regard, there is an implicit assumption that the network is an exact replica of the medium and the yieldstress value reflects the yield-stress of real fluid so that any failure of these algorithms cannot be attributed to mismatch or any factor other than flaws in these algorithms. It should be remarked that the validity of these methods could be tested by experiment [56,57].

Predicting the threshold yield pressure of a yield-stress fluid in porous media in its simplest form may be regarded as a special case of the more general problem of finding the threshold conducting path in disordered media that consist of elements with randomly distributed thresholds. This problem was analyzed by Roux and Hansen [58] in the context of studying the conduction of an electric network of diodes by considering two different cases, one in which the path is directed (no backtracking) and one in which it is not. They suggested that the minimum overall threshold potential difference across the network is akin to a percolation threshold and investigated its dependence on the lattice size. Kharabaf and Yortsos [59] noticed that a firm connection of the lattice-threshold problem to percolation appears to be lacking and the relation of the Minimum Threshold Path (MTP) to the minimum path of percolation, if it indeed exists, is not self evident. They presented a new algorithm, Invasion Percolation with Memory (IPM), for the construction of the MTP, based on which its properties can be studied. The Invasion Percolation with Memory method was further extended by Chen et al. [60] to incorporate dynamic effects due to viscous friction following the onset of mobilization [61-63].

The IPM is an algorithm for finding the inlet-to-outlet path that minimizes the sum of values of a property assigned to the individual elements of the network, and hence finding this minimum. For a yield-stress fluid, this reduces to finding the inlet-to-outlet path that minimizes the yield pressure. The yield pressure of this path is then taken as the network threshold yield pressure. A detailed description of this algorithm is given in [44,59]. Other algorithms that achieve this minimization process can be found in the literature. However, they all rely on a similar assumption to that upon which the IPM is based, that is the threshold yield pressure of a network is the minimum sum of the threshold yield pressures of the individual elements of all possible paths from the inlet to the outlet.

There are two possibilities for defining yield-stress fluids before yield: either solid-like substances or liquids with very high viscosity. According to the first, the most sensible way for modeling a presumed pressure gradient inside a medium is to be a constant, that is the pressure drop across the medium is a linear function of the spatial coordinate in the flow direction, as any other assumption needs justification. Whereas in the second case the fluid should be treated like non-yield-stress fluids and hence the pressure field inside the porous medium should be subject to the consistency criterion for the pressure field which was introduced earlier. The logic is that the magnitude of the viscosity should have no effect on the flow conduct as long as the material is assumed to be fluid [44].

Several arguments can be presented against the MTP algorithms for predicting the yield point of a medium or a network. Though certain arguments may be more obvious for a network with cylindrical ducts, they are valid in general for regular and irregular geometries of flow channels. Some of these arguments are outlined below.

• The MTP algorithms are based on the assumption that the threshold yield pressure (TYP) of an ensemble of serially connected bonds is the sum of their yield pressures. This assumption can be challenged by the fact that for a non-uniform ensemble (i.e. an ensemble whose elements have different TYPs) the pressure gradient across the ensemble should reach the threshold yield gradient of the bottleneck (i.e. the element with the highest TYP) of the ensemble if yield should occur. Consequently, the TYP of the ensemble will be higher than the sum of the TYPs of the individual elements.

This argument may be more obvious if yield-stress fluids are regarded as solids and a linear pressure drop is assumed.

- Assuming the yield-stress substances before yield are fluids with high viscosity, the dynamic aspects of the pressure field are neglected because the aim of the MTP algorithms is to find a collection of bonds inside the network with a certain condition based on the intrinsic properties of these elements irrespective of the pressure field. The reality is that the bonds are part of a network that is subject to a pressure field, so the pressure across each individual element must comply with a dynamically stable pressure configuration over the whole network. The MTP algorithms rely for justification on a hidden assumption that the minimum sum condition is identical or equivalent to the stable configuration condition, which is not obvious, because it is highly improbable that a stable configuration will require a pressure drop across each element of the path of minimum sum that is identical to the threshold yield pressure of that element. Therefore, it is not clear that the actual path of yield must coincide, totally or partially, with the path of the MTP algorithms let alone that the actual value of yield pressure must be predicted by these methods. To sum up, these algorithms disregard the global pressure field which can communicate with the internal nodes of the serially connected ensemble as it is part of a network and not an isolated collection of bonds. It is not obvious that the condition of these algorithms should agree with the requirement of a stable and mathematically consistent pressure filed as defined in Section 2.1. Such an agreement should be regarded as an extremely improbable coincidence.
- The MTP algorithms that allow backtracking have another drawback that is in some cases the path of minimum sum requires a physically unacceptable pressure configuration. This may be more obvious if the yield-stress substances are assumed to be solid before yield though it is still valid with the fluid assumption.
- The effect of tortuosity is ignored since it is implicitly assumed that the path of yield is an ensemble of serially connected and linearly-aligned tubes, whereas in reality the path is generally tortuous as it is part of a network and can communicate with the global pressure field through the intermediate nodes. The effect of tortuosity, which is more obvious for the solid assumption, is a possible increase in the external threshold pressure gradient and a possible change in the bottleneck.

Concerning the percolation approach, it is tempting to consider the conduct of yield-stress fluids in porous media as a percolation phenomenon to be analyzed by the classical percolation theory. However, three reasons, at least, may suggest otherwise

- The conventional percolation models can be applied only if the conducting elements are homogeneous, i.e. it is assumed in these models that the intrinsic property of all elements in the network are equal. However, this assumption cannot be justified for most kinds of media where the elements vary in their conduction and yield properties. Therefore, to apply percolation principles, a generalization to the conventional percolation theory is needed as suggested by Selyakov and Kadet [64].
- The network elements cannot yield independently as a spanning path bridging the inlet to the outlet is a necessary condition for yield. This contradicts the percolation theory assumption that the elements conduct independently.
- The pure percolation approach ignores the dynamic aspects of the pressure field, that is a stable pressure configuration is a necessary condition which may not coincide with the simple percolation requirement.

In a series of studies on generation and mobilization of foam in porous media, Rossen et al. [65,66] analyzed the threshold yield pressure using percolation theory concepts and suggested a simple percolation model. In this model, the percolation cluster is first found, then the MTP was approximated as a subset of this cluster that samples those bonds with the smallest individual thresholds [60]. This approach relies on the validity of applying percolation theory to vield-stress, which is disputed. Moreover, it is a mere coincidence if the yield path is contained within the percolation sample. Yield is an on/off process which critically depends on factors other than smallness of individual thresholds. These factors include the particular distribution and configuration of these elements, being within a larger network and hence being able to communicate with the global pressure field, and the dynamic aspects of the pressure field and stability requirement. Any approximation, therefore, has very little meaning in this context.

# 4. Viscoelasticity

Viscoelastic substances exhibit a dual nature of behavior by showing signs of both viscous fluids and elastic solids. In its most simple form, viscoelasticity can be modeled by combining Newton's law for viscous fluids (stress  $\propto$  rate of strain) with Hook's law for elastic solids (stress  $\propto$  strain), as given by the original Maxwell model and extended by the Convected Maxwell models for the nonlinear viscoelastic fluids. Although this idealization predicts several basic viscoelastic phenomena, it does so only qualitatively. The behavior of viscoelastic fluids is drastically different from that of Newtonian and inelastic non-Newtonian fluids. This includes the presence of normal stresses in shear flows, sensitivity to deformation type, and memory effects such as stress relaxation and time-dependent viscosity. These features underlie the observed peculiar viscoelastic phenomena such as rod-climbing (Weissenberg effect), die swell and open-channel siphon. Most viscoelastic fluids exhibit shear-thinning and an elongational viscosity that is both strain and extensional strain rate dependent, in contrast to Newtonian fluids where the elongational viscosity is constant and in proportion to shear viscosity [14,67].

The behavior of viscoelastic fluids at any time is dependent on their recent deformation history, that is they possess a fading memory of their past. Indeed a material that has no memory cannot be elastic, since it has no way of remembering its original shape. Consequently, an ideal viscoelastic fluid should behave as an elastic solid in sufficiently rapid deformations and as a Newtonian liquid in sufficiently slow deformations. The justification is that the larger the strain rate, the more strain is imposed on the sample within the memory span of the fluid. Many materials are viscoelastic but at different time scales that may not be reached. Dependent on the time scale of the flow, viscoelastic materials show mainly viscous or elastic behavior. The particular response of a sample in a given experiment depends on the time scale of the experiment in relation to a natural time of the material. Thus, if the experiment is relatively slow, the sample will appear to be viscous rather than elastic, whereas, if the experiment is relatively fast, it will appear to be elastic rather than viscous. At intermediate time scales mixed viscoelastic response is observed. Therefore the concept of a natural time of a material is important in characterizing the material as viscous or elastic. The ratio between the material time scale and the time scale of the flow is indicated by a non-dimensional number: the Deborah or the Weissenberg number [4,5,14,67].

A common feature of viscoelastic fluids is stress relaxation after a sudden shearing displacement where stress overshoots to a maximum then starts decreasing exponentially and eventually settles to a steady-state value. This phenomenon also takes place on cessation of steady shear flow where stress decays over a finite measurable length of time. This reveals that viscoelastic fluids are able to store and release energy in contrast to inelastic fluids which react instantaneously to the imposed deformation. A defining characteristic of viscoelastic materials associated with stress relaxation is the relaxation time which may be defined as the time required for the shear stress in a simple shear flow to return to zero under constant strain condition. Hence for a Hookean elastic solid the relaxation time is infinite, while for a Newtonian fluid the relaxation of the stress is immediate and the relaxation time is zero. Relaxation times which are infinite or zero are never realized in reality as they correspond to the mathematical idealization of Hookean elastic solids and Newtonian liquids. In practice, stress relaxation after the imposition of constant strain condition takes place over some finite non-zero time interval [5,6,8,14].

The complexity of viscoelasticity is phenomenal and the subject is notorious for being extremely difficult and challenging. The constitutive equations for viscoelastic fluids are much too complex to be treated in a general manner. Further complications arise from the confusion created by the presence of other phenomena such as wall effects and polymer—wall interactions, and these appear to be system specific. Therefore, it is doubtful that a general fluid model capable of predicting all the flow responses of viscoelastic systems with enough mathematical simplicity or tractability can emerge in the foreseeable future. Understandably, despite the huge amount of literature composed in the last few decades on this subject, the overwhelming majority of these studies have investigated very simple cases in which substantial simplifications have been made using basic viscoelastic models [8,27,68,69].

In the last few decades, a general consensus has emerged that in the flow of viscoelastic fluids through porous media elastic effects should arise, though their precise nature is unknown or controversial. In porous media, viscoelastic effects can be important in certain cases. When they are, the actual pressure gradient will exceed the purely viscous gradient beyond a critical flow rate, as observed by several investigators. The normal stresses of high molecular polymer solutions can explain in part the high flow resistance encountered during viscoelastic flow through porous media. It is believed that the very high normal stress differences and Trouton ratios associated with polymeric fluids will produce increasing values of apparent viscosity when the flow channels in the porous medium are of rapidly changing cross section.

Important aspects of non-Newtonian flow in general and viscoelastic flow in particular through porous media are still presenting serious challenge for modeling and quantification. There are intrinsic difficulties in characterizing non-Newtonian effects in the flow of polymer solutions and the complexities of the local geometry of the porous medium. This geometry gives rise to a complex and pore space dependent flow field in which shear and extension coexist in various proportions that cannot be quantified. Flows through porous media cannot be classified as pure shear flows as the converging-diverging passages impose a predominantly extensional flow fields especially at high flow rates. The extension viscosity of many non-Newtonian fluids also increases dramatically with the extension rate. As a consequence, the relationship between the pressure drop and flow rate very often do not follow the observed Newtonian and inelastic non-Newtonian trend. Further complications arise from the fact that for complex fluids the stress depends not only on whether the flow is a shearing, extensional, or mixed type, but also on the whole history of the velocity gradient [13,32,70–73].

# 4.1. Important aspects for flow in porous media

Strong experimental evidence indicates that the flow of viscoelastic fluids through packed beds can exhibit rapid increases in the pressure drop, or an increase in the apparent viscosity, above that expected for a comparable purely viscous fluid. This increase has been attributed to the extensional nature of the flow field in the pores caused by the successive expansions and contractions that a fluid element experiences as it traverses the pore space. Although the flow field at pore level is not an ideal extensional flow due to the presence of shear and rotation, the increase in flow resistance is referred to as an extension-thickening effect [33,73–75]. In this regard, two major interrelated aspects have strong impact on the flow through porous media. These are extensional flow and converging–diverging geometry.

#### 4.1.1. Extensional flow

One complexity in the flow in general and through porous media in particular arises from the coexistence of shear and extensional components, sometimes with the added complication of inertia. Pure shear or elongational flow is the exception in practical situations. In most situations mixed flow occurs where deformation rates have components parallel and perpendicular to the principal flow direction. In such flows, the elongational components may be associated with the converging-diverging flow paths [4,35]. A general consensus has emerged recently that the flow through packed beds has a substantial extensional component and typical polymer solutions exhibit strain hardening in extension, which is one of the main factors for the reported dramatic increases in pressure drop. Thus in principle the shear viscosity alone is inadequate to explain the observed excessive pressure gradients. It is interesting therefore to know the relative importance of elastic and viscous effects or the relationship between normal and shear stresses for different shear rates [12,27].

An extensional or elongational flow is one in which fluid elements are subjected to extensions and compressions without being rotated or sheared. The study of the extensional flow in general as a relevant variable has only received attention in the last few decades with the realization that extensional flow is of significant relevance in many practical situations. Before that, rheology was largely dominated by shear flow. The historical convention of matching only shear flow data with theoretical predictions in constitutive modeling should be rethought in those areas of interest where there is a large extensional contribution. Extensional flow experiments can be viewed as providing critical tests for any proposed constitutive equations [4,8,13,76].

Extensional flow is fundamentally different from shear flow. The material property characterizing the extensional flow is not the viscosity but the extensional viscosity. The behavior of the extensional viscosity function is often qualitatively different from that of the shear viscosity. For example, highly elastic polymer solutions that possess a viscosity that decreases monotonically in shear often exhibit an extensional viscosity that increases dramatically with extension rate. Thus, while the shear viscosity is shear-thinning, the extensional viscosity is extension-thickening [4,13]. The extensional or elongational viscosity  $\mu_x$ , also called Trouton viscosity, is defined as the ratio of tensile stress to the extension rate under steady flow condition where both these quantities attain constant values. Mathematically, it is given by

$$\mu_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\tau_E}{\dot{\varepsilon}} \tag{5}$$

where  $\tau_E (=\tau_{11} - \tau_{22})$  is the normal stress difference and  $\dot{\varepsilon}$  is the extension rate. The stress  $\tau_{11}$  is in the direction of the extension while  $\tau_{22}$  is in a direction perpendicular to the extension [4,77].

Polymeric fluids show a non-constant extensional viscosity in steady and unsteady extensional flow. In general, extensional viscosity is a function of the extensional strain rate, just as the shear viscosity is a function of shear rate. It is far more difficult to measure the extensional viscosity than the shear viscosity. There is therefore a gulf between the strong desire to measure extensional viscosity and the likely expectation of its fulfillment [4,14]. A major difficulty that hinders the progress in this field is that it is difficult to achieve steady elongational flow and quantify it precisely. Despite the fact that many techniques have been developed for measuring the elongational flow properties, these techniques failed so far to produce consistent outcome as they generate results which can differ by several orders of magnitude. This indicates that these results are dependent on the method and instrument of measurement. This is highlighted by the view that the extensional viscometers provide measurements of an extensional viscosity rather than the extensional viscosity. The situation is made more complex by the fact that it is almost impossible to generate a pure extensional flow since a shear component is always present in real flow situations, and this makes the measurements doubtful and inconclusive [2,4,5,12,13,72].

For Newtonian and inelastic non-Newtonian fluids the extensional viscosity is a constant that only depends on the type of extensional deformation. Moreover, the viscosity measured in a shear flow can be used to predict the viscosity in other types of deformation. For example, in a simple uniaxial extensional flow of a Newtonian fluid the following relationship is satisfied

$$\mu_{\rm X} = 3\mu_0 \tag{6}$$

For viscoelastic fluids the flow behavior is more complex and the extensional viscosity, like the shear viscosity, depends on both the strain rate and the time following the onset of straining. The rheological behavior of a complex fluid in extension is often very different from that in shear. Polymers usually have extremely high extensional viscosities which can be orders of magnitude higher than those expected on the basis of Newtonian model. Moreover, the extensional viscosities of elastic polymer solutions can be thousands of times greater than their shear viscosities. To measure the departure of the ratio of extensional to shear viscosity from its Newtonian equivalent, the rheologists have introduced what is known as the Trouton ratio which is usually defined as

$$Tr = \frac{\mu_{x}(\hat{\varepsilon})}{\mu_{s}(\sqrt{3}\hat{\varepsilon})}$$
(7)

For elastic liquids, the Trouton ratio is expected to be always greater than or equal to 3, with the value 3 only attained at vanishingly small strain rates. These liquids are known for having very high Trouton ratios that can be as high as 10<sup>4</sup>. This conduct is expected especially when the fluid combines shear-thinning with tension-thickening. However, even for the fluids that demonstrate tension-thinning the associated Trouton ratios usually increase with strain rate and are still significantly in excess of the inelastic value of three [4,6,13,14,76,78].

Figs. 6 and 7 compare the shear viscosity to the extensional viscosity at isothermal condition for a typical viscoelastic fluid at various shear and extension rates. As seen in Fig. 6, the shear viscosity curve can be divided into three regions. For low shear rates, compared to the time scale of the fluid, the viscosity is approximately constant. It then equals the so called zero shear rate viscosity. After this initial plateau the viscosity rapidly decreases with increasing shear rate, and this behavior is described as shear-thinning. However, there are some materials for which the reverse behavior is observed, that is the shear viscosity increases with shear rate giving rise to shear-thickening. For high shear rates the viscosity often approximates a constant value again. The constant viscosity extremes at low and high shear rates are known as the lower and upper Newtonian plateau, respectively [4,5,14].



**Fig. 6.** Typical behavior of shear viscosity  $\mu_s$  as a function of shear rate  $\dot{\gamma}$  in shear flow on log–log scales.

In Fig. 7 the typical behavior of the extensional viscosity,  $\mu_x$ , of a viscoelastic fluid as a function of extension rate,  $\dot{\varepsilon}$ , is depicted. As seen, the extensional viscosity curve can be divided into several regions. At low extension rates the extensional viscosity maintains a constant value known as the zero extension rate extensional viscosity. This is usually three times the zero shear rate viscosity, just as for a Newtonian fluid. For somewhat larger extension rates the extensional viscosity increases with increasing extension rate. Some viscoelastic fluids behave differently, that is their extensional viscosity decreases on increasing extension rate in this regime. A fluid for which  $\mu_x$  increases with increasing  $\dot{\varepsilon}$  is said to be tensionthickening, whilst if  $\mu_x$  decreases with increasing  $\dot{\varepsilon}$  it is described as tension-thinning. For even higher extension rates the extension viscosity reaches a maximum constant value. If the extension rate is increased once more the extensional viscosity may decrease again. It should be remarked that two polymeric liquids that may have essentially the same behavior in shear can show a different response in extension [4–6,13].

# 4.1.2. Converging-diverging geometry

An important aspect that characterizes the flow in porous media and makes it distinct from bulk is the presence of converging—diverging flow paths. This geometric factor significantly affects the flow and accentuates elastic responses. Several arguments have been presented in the literature to explain the effect of



**Fig. 7.** Typical behavior of extensional viscosity  $\mu_x$  as a function of extension rate  $\dot{\epsilon}$  in extensional flow on log–log scales.

converging—diverging geometry on the flow behavior. One argument is that viscoelastic flow in porous media differs from that of Newtonian flow, primarily because the converging—diverging nature of flow in porous media gives rise to normal stresses which are not solely proportional to the local shear rate. A second argument is that any geometry that involves a diameter change will generate a flow field with elongational characteristics, and hence the flow field in porous media involves both shear and elongational components with elastic responses. A third argument suggests that elastic effects are expected in the flow through porous media because of the acceleration and deceleration of the fluid in the interstices of the bed upon entering and leaving individual pores [39,49,79].

Despite this diversity, there is a general consensus that in porous media the converging—diverging nature of the flow paths brings out both the extensional and shear properties of the fluid. The principal mode of deformation to which a material element is subjected as the flow converges into a constriction involves both a shearing of the material element and a stretching or elongation in the direction of flow, while in the diverging portion the flow involves both shearing and compression. The actual channel geometry determines the ratio of shearing to extensional contributions. In many realistic situations involving viscoelastic flows the extensional contribution is the most important of the two modes. As porous media flow involves elongational flow components, the coil-stretch phenomenon can also take place.

A consequence of the presence of converging-diverging feature in porous media on flow modeling is that a suitable model pore geometry is one having converging and diverging sections which can reproduce the elongational nature of the flow. Despite the general success of the straight capillary tube model with Newtonian and inelastic non-Newtonian flows, its failure with elastic flow is remarkable. To rectify the flaws of this model, the undulating tube and converging-diverging channel were proposed in order to include the elastic character of the flow. Various corrugated tube models with different simple geometries have been used as a first step to model the effect of converging-diverging geometry on the flow of viscoelastic fluids in porous media (e.g. [70,74,80]). Those geometries include conically shaped sections, sinusoidal corrugation and abrupt expansions and contractions. Some simplified forms of these geometries are displayed in Fig. 8. Similarly, a bundle of converging-diverging tubes forms a better model for a porous medium in viscoelastic flow than the bundle of straight capillary tubes, as the presence of diameter variations makes it possible to account for elongational contributions. Many investigators have attempted to capture the role of successive converging-diverging character of packed bed flow by numerically solving the flow equations in conduits of periodically varying cross sections. Some of these are [8,27,33,39,81]. Different opinions on



**Fig. 8.** Examples of corrugated capillaries that can be used to model converging—diverging geometry in porous media.

the success of these models can be found in the literature. With regards to modeling viscoelastic flow in regular or random networks of converging–diverging capillaries, very little work has been done.

# 4.2. Viscoelastic effects in porous media

In packed bed flows, the main manifestation of steady-state viscoelastic effects is the excess pressure drop or dilatancy behavior in different flow regimes above what is accounted for by shear viscosity of the flowing liquid. Qualitatively, this behavior was attributed either to memory effects or to extensional flow. However, both explanations have a place as long as the flow regime is considered. Furthermore, the geometry of the porous media must be taken into account when considering elastic responses [27,79].

There is a general agreement that the flow of viscoelastic fluids in packed beds results in a greater pressure drop than what can be ascribed to the shear rate dependent viscosity. Fluids that exhibit elasticity deviate from viscous flow above some critical velocity in porous flow. At low flow rates, the pressure drop is determined largely by shear viscosity, and the viscosity and elasticity are approximately the same as in bulk. As the flow rate gradually increases, viscoelastic effects begin to appear in various flow regimes. Consequently, the in situ rheological characteristics become significantly different from those in bulk as elasticity dramatically increases showing strong dilatant behavior. Although experimental evidence for viscoelastic effects is convincing, an equally convincing theoretical analysis is not available. One general argument is that when the fluid suffers a significant deformation in a time comparable to the relaxation time of the fluid, elastic effects become important [12,27,68,79].

The complexity of viscoelastic flow in porous media is aggravated by the possible occurrence of other non-elastic phenomena which have similar effects as viscoelasticity. These phenomena include adsorption of the polymers on the capillary walls, mechanical retention and partial pore blockage. All these effects also lead to pressure drops well in excess to that expected from the shear viscosity levels. Consequently, the interpretation of many observed effects is controversial. Some authors may interpret some observations in terms of partial pore blockage whereas others insist on non-Newtonian effects including viscoelasticity as an explanation. However, none of these have proved to be completely satisfactory. Moreover, no one can rule out the possibility of simultaneous occurrence of these elastic and inelastic phenomena with further complication and confusion. An interesting fact is the observation made by several researchers, e.g. Sadowski [82], that reproducible results can be obtained only in constant flow rate experiments because at constant pressure drop the velocity kept decreasing. This kind of observation indicates deposition of polymer on the solid surface by one mechanism or another, and cast doubt on some explanations which involve elasticity. At constant flow rate the increased pressure drop provides the necessary force to keep a reproducible portion of the flow channels open [27,71,83,84].

There are three principal viscoelastic effects that have to be accounted for in the investigation of viscoelasticity: transient timedependence, steady-state time-dependence and dilatancy at high flow rates.

#### 4.2.1. Transient time-dependence

Transient time-dependent viscoelastic behavior has been observed in bulk on the startup and cessation of processes involving the displacement of viscoelastic materials, and on a sudden change of rate or reversal in the direction of deformation. During these transient states, there are frequently overshoots and undershoots in stress as a function of time which scale with strain. However, if the fluid is strained at a constant rate, these transients die out in the course of time, and the stress approaches a steadystate value that depends only on the strain rate. Under initial flow conditions stresses can reach magnitudes which are substantially more important than their steady-state values, whereas the relaxation on a sudden cessation of strain can vary substantially in various circumstances [5,12,13,85,86].

Transient responses are usually investigated in the context of bulk rheology, despite the fact that there is no available theory that can predict this behavior quantitatively. As a consequence of this restraint to bulk, the literature of viscoelastic flow in porous media is almost entirely dedicated to the steady-state situation with hardly any work on the *in situ* time-dependent viscoelastic flows. However, transient behavior is expected to occur in similar situations during the flow through porous media. One reason for this gap is the absence of a proper theoretical structure and the experimental difficulties associated with these flows *in situ*. Another possible reason is that the *in situ* transient flows may have less important practical applications.

#### 4.2.2. Steady-state time-dependence

By this, we mean the effects that arise in the steady-state flow due to time dependency, as time-dependence characteristics of the viscoelastic material must have an impact on the steady-state conduct. Indeed, elastic effects do occur in steady-state flow through porous media because of the time-dependence nature of the flow at pore level. Depending on the time scale of the flow, viscoelastic materials may show viscous or elastic behavior. The particular response in a given process depends on the time scale of the process in relation to a natural time of the material. With regard to this time scale dependency of viscoelastic flow process at pore level, the fluid relaxation time and the rate of elongation or contraction that occurs as the fluid flows through a channel or pore with varying cross sectional area should be used to characterize and quantify viscoelastic behavior [4,70,79,87].

Sadowski and Bird [36] analyzed the viscometric flow problem in a long straight circular tube and argued that in such a flow no time-dependent elastic effects are expected. However, in a porous medium elastic effects may occur. As the fluid moves through a tortuous channel in the porous medium, it encounters a capriciously changing cross section. If the fluid relaxation time is small with respect to the transit time through a contraction or expansion in a tortuous channel, the fluid will readjust to the changing flow conditions and no elastic effects would be observed. If, on the other hand, the fluid relaxation time is large with respect to the time to go through a contraction or expansion, then the fluid will not accommodate and elastic effects will be observed in the form of an extra pressure drop or an increase in the apparent viscosity. Thus the concept of a ratio of characteristic times emerges as an ordering parameter in viscoelastic flow through porous media. This indicates the importance of the ratio of the natural time of a fluid to the duration time of a process [83].

One of the steady-state viscoelastic phenomena observed in the flow through porous media and can be qualified as a time-dependent effect, among other possibilities such as retention, is the intermediate plateau at medium flow rates as demonstrated in Fig. 3. A possible explanation is that at low flow rates before the appearance of the intermediate plateau the fluid behaves inelastically like any typical shear-thinning fluid. This implies that in the low flow regime viscoelastic effects are negligible as the fluid can respond to the local state of deformation almost instantly, that is it does not remember its past and hence behaves as a purely viscous fluid. As the flow rate increases, a point will be reached where the solid-like characteristics of viscoelastic materials begin to appear in the form of an increased apparent viscosity as the time of process becomes comparable to the natural time of fluid, and hence a plateau is observed. At higher flow rates the process time is short compared to the natural time of the fluid and hence the fluid has no time to react as the fluid is not an ideal elastic solid that reacts instantaneously. Since the process time is very short, no overshoot will occur at the tube constriction as a measurable finite time is needed for the overshoot to take place. The result is that no increase in the pressure drop will be observed in this flow regime and the normal shear-thinning behavior is resumed with the eventual high flow rate plateau [70,88].

# 4.2.3. Dilatancy at high flow rates

The third distinctive feature of viscoelastic flow is the dilatant behavior in the form of excess pressure losses at high flow rates as depicted in Fig. 4. Certain polymeric solutions that exhibit shearthinning in a viscometric flow seem to exhibit a shear-thickening response under appropriate conditions during flow through porous media. At high flow rates, abnormal increases in flow resistance that resemble a shear-thickening response have been observed in flow experiments involving a variety of dilute to moderately concentrated solutions of high molecular weight polymers [35,83]. This phenomenon can be attributed to stretch-thickening due to the dominance of extension over shear flow. At high flow rates, strong extensional flow effects do occur and the extensional viscosity rises very steeply with increasing extension rate. As a result, the non-shear terms become much larger than the shear terms. For Newtonian fluids, the extensional viscosity is just three times the shear viscosity. However, for viscoelastic fluids the shear and extensional viscosities often behave oppositely, that is while the shear viscosity is generally a decreasing function of the shear rate, the extensional viscosity increases as the extension rate increases. The consequence is that the pressure drop will be governed by extension-thickening and the apparent viscosity rises sharply. Other possibilities such as physical retention are less likely to take place at these high flow rates [21,72].

### 5. Thixotropy and rheopexy

Time-dependent fluids are defined to be those fluids whose viscosity depends on the duration of flow under isothermal conditions. For these fluids, a time-independent steady-state viscosity is eventually reached in steady flow situation. The time effect is often reversible though it may be partial, that is the trend of the viscosity change is overturned in time when the stress is reduced. The phenomenon is generally attributed to time-dependent thixotropic breakdown or rheopectic buildup of some particulate structure under relatively high stress followed by structural change in the opposite direction for lower stress, though the exact mechanism may not be certain [3,12,16,89,90].

Time-dependent fluids are generally divided into two main categories: thixotropic (work softening) whose viscosity gradually decreases with time at a constant shear rate, and rheopectic (work hardening or anti-thixotropic or negative thixotropic) whose viscosity increases under similar circumstances without an overshoot which is a characteristic feature of viscoelasticity. However, it has been proposed that rheopexy and negative thixotropy are different, and hence three categories of time-dependent fluids do exist [6,12,91]. It is noteworthy that 'thixotropy' might be used conveniently to indicate non-elastic time-dependence in general where the meaning is obvious.

Thixotropic fluids may be described as shear-thinning while the rheopectic as shear-thickening, in the sense that these effects take place on shearing, though they are effects of time-dependence. However, it is proposed that thixotropy invariably occurs in circumstances where the liquid is shear-thinning (in the usual sense of viscosity decrease with increasing shear rate) while rheopexy may be associated with shear-thickening. This can be behind the occasional confusion between thixotropy and shear-thinning [4,16,48,92].

A substantial number of complex fluids display time-dependence phenomena, with thixotropy being more commonplace and better understood than rheopexy. Various mathematical models have been proposed to describe time-dependence behavior. These include microstructural, continuum mechanics, and structural kinetics models. Thixotropic and rheopectic behaviors may be detected by the hysteresis loop test, as well as by the more direct steady shear test. In the loop test the substance is sheared cyclically and a graph of stress versus shear rate is obtained. A time-dependent fluid should display a hysteresis loop the area of which is a measure of the degree of thixotropy or rheopexy and this may be used to quantify time-dependent behavior [2,3,90–92].

In theory, time-dependence effects can arise from thixotropic structural change or from viscoelasticity. The existence of these two different types of time-dependent rheologies is generally recognized. Although it is convenient to distinguish between these as separate types of phenomena, real fluids can exhibit both types of rheology at the same time. Several physical distinctions between viscoelastic and thixotropic time-dependence have been made. The important one is that while the time-dependence of viscoelastic fluids arises because the response of stresses and strains in the fluid to changes in imposed strains and stresses respectively is not instantaneous, in thixotropic fluids such response is instantaneous and the time-dependent behavior arises purely because of changes in the structure of the fluid as a consequence of strain. While the mathematical theory of viscoelasticity has been developed to an advanced level, especially on the continuum mechanical front, relatively little work has been done on thixotropy and rheopexy. One reason is the lack of a comprehensive framework to describe the dynamics of thixotropy. This may partly explain why thixotropy is rarely incorporated in the constitutive equation when modeling the flow of non-Newtonian fluids. The underlying assumption is that in these situations the thixotropic effects have a negligible impact on the resulting flow field, and this allows great mathematical simplifications [32,89,91,93-95].

Several behavioral distinctions can be made to differentiate between viscoelasticity and thixotropy. These include the presence or absence of some characteristic elastic features such as recoil and normal stresses. However, these signs may be of limited use in some practical situations involving complex fluids where these two phenomena coexist. In Fig. 9 the behavior of these two types of fluids in response to step change in strain rate is compared. Although both fluids show signs of dependency on the past history, the graph suggests that inelastic thixotropic fluids do not possess a memory in the same sense as viscoelastic materials. The behavioral differences, such as the absence of elastic effects or the difference in the characteristic time scale associated with these phenomena, confirm this suggestion [12,16,92,93].

Thixotropy, like viscoelasticity, is a phenomenon that can appear in a large number of systems. The time scale for thixotropic changes is measurable in various materials including important commercial and biological products. However, the investigation of thixotropy has been hampered by several difficulties. Consequently, the suggested thixotropic models are unable to present successful quantitative description of thixotropic behavior especially for the transient state. In fact, even the most characteristic property of thixotropic fluids, i.e. the decay of viscosity or stress under steady shear conditions, presents difficulties in modeling and characterization. The lack of a comprehensive theoretical framework to describe thixotropy is matched by a severe shortage on the experimental



Fig. 9. Comparison between time dependency in thixotropic and viscoelastic fluids following a step increase in strain rate.

side. One reason is the difficulties confronted in measuring thixotropic systems with sufficient accuracy. As a result, very few systematic data sets can be found in the literature and this deficit hinders the progress in this field. Moreover, the characterization of the data in the absence of an agreed-upon mathematical structure may be questionable [89,90,92,93,96].

# 5.1. Modeling time-dependent flow in porous media

In the absence of serious attempts to model thixotropic rheology in porous media using the four major modeling approaches (i.e. continuum, capillary bundle, numerical methods and network modeling), very little can be said about this issue. However, network modeling is currently the best candidate for dealing with this task. In this context, there are three major cases of simulating the flow of time-dependent fluids in porous media [44]

- The flow of strongly strain-dependent fluid in a porous medium that is not very homogeneous. This case is very difficult to model because of the difficulty to track the fluid elements in the pores and throats and determine their deformation history. Moreover, the viscosity function is not well defined due to the mixing of fluid elements with various deformation history in the individual pores and throats.
- The flow of strain-independent or weakly strain-dependent fluid through porous media in general. A possible strategy is to apply single time-dependent viscosity function to all pores and throats at each instant of time and hence simulating time development as a sequence of Newtonian states.
- The flow of strongly strain-dependent fluid in a very homogeneous porous medium such that the fluid is subject to the same deformation in all network elements. The strategy for modeling this flow is to define an effective pore strain rate. Then using a very small time step the strain rate in the next instant of time can be found assuming constant strain rate. As the change in the strain rate is now known, a correction to the viscosity due to strain-dependency can be introduced.

# 6. Experimental work on non-Newtonian flow in porous media

A considerable amount of experimental work has been conducted in the last decades on the non-Newtonian flow through porous media. However, most of this work is on the flow of polymer solutions in packed beds or other simple forms of porous media using generic time-independent models with possible inclusion of some viscoelastic attributes. Hence the scopes and techniques are generally limited and exclude important aspects of non-Newtonian flow in porous media.

# 7. Conclusions

In the context of fluid flow, 'non-Newtonian' is a generic term that incorporates a variety of phenomena. These phenomena are highly complex and require sophisticated mathematical modeling techniques for proper description. Further complications are added when considering the flow through porous media. So far no general methodology that can deal with all cases of non-Newtonian flow has been developed. Moreover, only modest success has been achieved by any one of these methodologies. This situation is not expected to change substantially in the foreseeable future, and many challenges are still waiting to overcome. In the absence of a general approach that is suitable for all situations, a combination of all available approaches is required to tackle non-Newtonian challenges.

Currently, network modeling is the most realistic choice for modeling non-Newtonian flow in porous media. While this approach is capable of predicting the general trend in many situations, it is still unable to account for all complexities and make precise predictions in all cases. The way forward is to improve the modeling strategies and techniques. One requirement is the improvement of pore space definition. While modeling the converging-diverging feature of the pore space with idealized geometry is a step forward, it is not enough. This feature is only one factor in the making of the complex structure of flow channels. The actual geometry and topology in porous media is much more complex and should be fully considered for successful modeling of flow field. Including more physics in the flow description at pore level is another requirement for reaching the final objective.

# Nomenclature

- parameter in Ellis model α
- Ϋ́ rate of strain  $(s^{-1})$
- rate of strain tensor Ϋ́
- porosity  $\epsilon$
- rate of extension  $(s^{-1})$ È
- relaxation time (s) λ1
- retardation time (s) λ2
- λ' first time constant in Godfrey model (s)
- λ" second time constant in Godfrey model (s)
- λς time constant in Stretched Exponential Model (s)
- viscosity (Pa s) μ
- initial-time viscosity (Pa s)  $\mu_i$
- infinite-time viscosity (Pa s)
- $\mu_{inf}$ zero-shear viscosity (Pa s)
- $\mu_0$ shear viscosity (Pa s)
- $\mu_s$
- extensional (elongational) viscosity (Pa s)  $\mu_{x}$
- infinite-shear viscosity (Pa s)  $\mu_{\infty}$
- viscosity deficit associated with  $\lambda'$  in Godfrey model (Pa s)  $\Delta \mu'$
- $\Delta \mu''$ viscosity deficit associated with  $\lambda''$  in Godfrey model (Pa s)
- fluid mass density (kg m<sup>-3</sup>) ρ
- stress (Pa) τ
- stress tensor τ
- stress when  $\mu = \mu_0/2$  in Ellis model (Pa)  $\tau_{1/2}$
- $\tau_o$ yield-stress (Pa)
- dimensionless constant in Stretched Exponential Model С

- С consistency factor in power-law and Herschel-Bulkley models (Pa  $s^n$ )
- C'tortuosity factor
- $D_p$ particle diameter (m)
- flow conductance ( $m^3 Pa^{-1} s^{-1}$ ) Ġ
- Κ absolute permeability  $(m^2)$
- L length of tube or bed (m)
- flow behavior index п
- Р pressure (Pa)
- $\Delta P$ pressure drop (Pa)
- superficial (Darcy) velocity (m  $s^{-1}$ ) q
- volumetric flow rate  $(m^3 s^{-1})$ Q
- R tube radius (m)
- Req equivalent radius (m)
- time (s) t
- characteristic time of flow system (s) t<sub>c</sub>
- Tr Trouton ratio

# Abbreviations

- BKC Blake-Kozeny-Carman
- IPM Invasion Percolation with Memory
- MTP Minimum Threshold Path
- TYP Threshold Yield Pressure
- ▼ upper convected time derivative

Note: units, when relevant, are given in the SI system. Vectors and tensors are marked with boldface. Some symbols may rely on the context for unambiguous identification.

#### References

- [1] Skelland AHP. Non-Newtonian flow and heat transfer. John Wiley and Sons Inc.: 1967.
- [2] Chhabra RP, Richardson JF. Non-Newtonian flow in the process industries. Butterworth Heinemann Publishers; 1999.
- Collyer AA. Time dependent fluids. Phys Edu 1974;9:38-44.
- [4] Barnes HA, Hutton JE, Walters K. An introduction to rheology. Elsevier; 1993. [5] Bird RB, Armstrong RC, Hassager O. Dynamics of polymeric liquids. 2nd ed.,
- vol. 1. John Wily & Sons; 1987. [6] Owens RG, Phillips TN. Computational rheology. Imperial College Press; 2002. [7] Sochi T, Blunt MJ. Pore-scale network modeling of Ellis and Herschel-Bulkley
- fluids. | Petrol Sci Eng 2008;60(2):105-24.
- [8] Deiber JA. Modeling the flow of Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids through porous media. PhD thesis, Princeton University, 1978.
- [9] Denn MM. Issues in viscoelastic fluid mechanics. Annu Rev Fluid Mech 1990:22:13-34.
- [10] Hulsen MA. A discontinuous Galerkin method with splitting applied to viscoelastic flow. Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Marine Technology, Delft University of Technology: 1986.
- [11] Keunings R. Micro-macro methods for the multi-scale simulation of viscoelastic flow using molecular models of kinetic theory. Rheol Rev: 2004:67–98.
- [12] Carreau PJ, De Kee D, Chhabra RP. Rheology of polymeric systems. Hanser Publishers; 1997.
- [13] Larson RG. The structure and rheology of complex fluids. Oxford University Press: 1999.
- [14] Larson RG. Constitutive equations for polymer melts and solutions. Butterworth Publishers: 1988
- [15] White JL, Metzner AB. Thermodynamic and heat transport considerations for viscoelastic fluids. Chem Eng Sci 1965;20:1055-62.
- Barnes HA. Thixotropy a review. J Nonnewton Fluid Mech 1997;70(1):1–33. [16] [17] Hulsen MA. Analysis of the equations for viscoelastic flow: type, boundary conditions, and discontinuities. Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Delft University of Technology; 1986.
- [18] Hulsen MA. A numerical method for solving steady 2D and axisymmetrical viscoelastic flow problems with an application to inertia effects in contraction flows. Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Delft University of Technology; 1990
- [19] Keunings R. Finite element methods for integral viscoelastic fluids. Rheol Rev; 2003:167-95
- [20] van Os RGM, Phillips TN. Spectral element methods for transient viscoelastic flow problems. J Comput Phys 2004;201(1):286-314.
- [21] Durst F, Haas R, Interthal W. The nature of flows through porous media. Nonnewton Fluid Mech 1987;22(2):169-89.
- [22] Johnson DL, Koplik J, Dashen R. Theory of dynamic permeability and tortuosity in fluid-saturated porous media. J Fluid Mech 1987;176:379-402.

- [23] Andrade JS, Almeida MP, Filho JM, Havlin S, Suki B, Stanley HE. Fluid flow through porous media: the role of stagnant zones. Phys Rev Lett 1997;79:3901-4.
- [24] Shenoy AV. Darcy-Forchheimer natural, forced and mixed convection heat transfer in non-Newtonian power-law fluid-saturated porous media. Transport Porous Media 1993;11(3):219–41.
- [25] Schowalter WR. Mechanics of non-Newtonian fluids. Pergamon Press Inc.; 1978.
- [26] Kozicki W, Tiu C. A unified model for non-Newtonian flow in packed beds and porous media. Rheol Acta 1988;27(1):31–8.
- [27] Chhabra RP, Comiti J, Machač I. Flow of non-Newtonian fluids in fixed and fluidised beds. Chem Eng Sci 2001;56(1):1–27.
- [28] Chapuis RP, Aubertin M. On the use of the Kozeny-Carman equation to predict the hydraulic conductivity of soils. Can Geotech | 2003;40(3):616–28.
- [29] Jones WM. The flow of dilute aqueous solutions of macromolecules in various geometries: IV. the Ergun and Jones equations for flow through consolidated beds. J Phys D Appl Phys 1976;9(5):771–2.
- [30] Du Plessis JP. Analytical quantification of coefficients in the Ergun equation for fluid friction in a packed bed. Transport Porous Media 1994;16(2):189–207.
- [31] Stevenson P. Comment on "Physical insight into the Ergun and Wen & Yu equations for fluid flow in packed and fluidised beds", by R.K. Niven. Chem Eng Sci 2003:58(23):5379.
- [32] Pearson JRA, Tardy PMJ. Models for flow of non-Newtonian and complex fluids through porous media. J Nonnewton Fluid Mech 2002;102(2):447-73.
- [33] Pilitsis S, Beris AN. Calculations of steady-state viscoelastic flow in an undulating tube. I Nonnewton Fluid Mech 1989:31(3):231-87.
- [34] Cannella WJ, Huh C, Seright RS. Prediction of Xanthan rheology in porous media. SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, 2–5 October, Houston, Texas. SPE 18089;1988.
- [35] Sorbie KS. Polymer-improved oil recovery. Blakie and Son Ltd; 1991.
- [36] Sadowski TJ, Bird RB. Non-Newtonian flow through porous media I. Theoretical. Trans Soc Rheol 1965;9(2):243–50.
- [37] Duda JL, Hang S, Klaus EE. Flow of polymer solutions in porous media: inadequacy of the capillary model. Ind Eng Chem Fund 1983;22(3):299–305.
- [38] Zaitoun A, Kohler N. Two-phase flow through porous media: effect of an adsorbed polymer layer. SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, 2–5 October, Houston, Texas. SPE 18085;1988.
- [39] Talwar KK, Khomami B. Application of higher order finite element methods to viscoelastic flow in porous media. J Rheol 1992;36(7):1377–416.
- [40] Fadili A, Tardy PMJ, Pearson JRA. A 3D filtration law for power-law fluids in heterogeneous porous media. J Nonnewton Fluid Mech 2002;106(2): 121–46.
- [41] Blunt MJ, Jackson MD, Piri M, Valvatne PH. Detailed physics, predictive capabilities and macroscopic consequences for pore-network models of multiphase flow. Adv Water Res 2002;25(8–12):1069–89.
- [42] Lopez X, Valvatne PH, Blunt MJ. Predictive network modeling of single-phase non-Newtonian flow in porous media. J Colloid Interface Sci 2003;264 (1):256–65.
- [43] Blunt MJ. Flow in porous media pore-network models and multiphase flow. Colloid Inter Sci 2001;6(3):197–207.
- [44] Sochi TM. Pore-scale modeling of non-Newtonian flow in porous media. PhD thesis, Imperial College London, 2007.
- [45] Sochi T. Pore-scale modeling of viscoelastic flow in porous media using a Bautista-Manero fluid. Int J Heat Fluid Flow 2009;30(6):1202–17.
- [46] Barnes HA. A review of the slip (wall depletion) of polymer solutions, emulsions and particle suspensions in viscometers: its cause, character, and cure. J Nonnewton Fluid Mech 1995;56(3):221–51.
- [47] Barnes HA. The yield stress—a review or 'παντα ρει'—everything flows? J Nonnewton Fluid Mech 1999;81(1):133–78.
- [48] Balmforth NJ, Craster RV. Geophysical aspects of non-Newtonian fluid mechanics. Springer; 2001.
- [49] Park HC, Hawley MC, Blanks RF. The flow of non-Newtonian solutions through packed beds. SPE 4722;1973.
- [50] Al-Fariss TF, Pinder KL. Flow of a shear-thinning liquid with yield stress through porous media. SPE 13840;1984.
- [51] Bear J. Dynamics of fluids in porous media. American Elsevier; 1972.
- [52] Vradis GC, Protopapas AL. Macroscopic conductivities for flow of Bingham plastics in porous media. J Hydraulic Eng 1993;119(1):95–108.
- [53] Liu S, Masliyah JH. On non-Newtonian fluid flow in ducts and porous media optical rheometry in opposed jets and flow through porous media. Chem Eng Sci 1998;53(6):1175–201.
- [54] Vikhansky A. Lattice-Boltzmann method for yield-stress liquids. J Nonnewton Fluid Mech 2008;155(3):95–100.
- [55] Balhoff MT. Modeling the flow of non-Newtonian fluids in packed beds at the pore scale. PhD thesis, Louisiana State University, 2005.
- [56] Chen M, Yortsos YC, Rossen WR. Insights on foam generation in porous media from pore-network studies. Colloids Surf A Physicochem Eng Asp 2005;256 (2-3):181–9.
- [57] Sheppard AP, Knackstedt MA, Sahimi M. Invasion percolation with long-range correlations: first-order phase transition and nonuniversal scaling properties. Phys Rev E 2000;61(5):4920–34.
- [58] Roux S, Hansen A. A new algorithm to extract the backbone in a random resistor network. J Phys A 1987;20:L1281-5.
- [59] Kharabaf H, Yortsos YC. Invasion percolation with memory. Phys Rev 1997;55 (6):7177-91.

- [60] Chen M, Rossen WR, Yortsos YC. The flow and displacement in porous media of fluids with yield stress. Chem Eng Sci 2005;60(15):4183–202.
- [61] Chandler R, Koplik J, Lerman K, Willemsen JF. Capillary displacement and percolation in porous media. J Fluid Mech 1982;119:249-67.
- [62] Sahimi M. Applications of percolation theory. Taylor and Francis; 1994.
- [63] Andrade JS, Buldyrev SV, Dokholyan NV, Havlin S, King PR, Lee Y, et al. Flow
- between two sites on a percolation cluster. Phys Rev E 2000;62:8270–81. [64] Selyakov VI, Kadet VV. Percolation models for transport in porous media with
- applications to reservoir engineering. Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1996. [65] Rossen WR, Gauglitz PA. Percolation theory of creation and mobilization of
- foam in porous media. AIChE Journal 1990;36(8):1176–88.
  [66] Rossen WR, Mamun CK. Minimal path for transport in networks. Phy Rev B 1993;47(18):11815–25.
- [67] Boger DV. Viscoelastic flows through contractions. Annu Rev Fluid Mech 1987:19:157–82.
- [68] Wissler EH. Viscoelastic effects in the flow of non-Newtonian fluids through a porous medium. Ind Eng Chem Fund 1971;10(3):411-7.
- [69] Jones WM, Ho SP. The flow of dilute aqueous solutions of macromolecules in various geometries: VII. Mechanisms of resistance in porous media. J Phys D Appl Phys 1979;12(3):383–93.
- [70] Marshall RJ, Metzner AB. Flow of viscoelastic fluids through porous media. Ind Eng Chem Fund 1967;6(3):393–400.
- [71] Dauben DL, Menzie DE, Flow of polymer solutions through porous media. J Petrol Technol; 1967:1065-73. SPE 1688-PA.
- [72] Mendes PRS, Naccache MF. A constitutive equation for extensional-thickening fluids flowing through porous media. In: Proceedings of 2002 ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress & Exposition, November 17–22, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, 2002.
- [73] Plog JP, Kulicke WM. Flow behaviour of dilute hydrocolloid solutions through porous media. Influence of molecular weight, concentration and solvent. Institute of Technical and Macromolecular Chemistry, University of Hamburg.
- [74] Deiber JA, Schowalter WR. Modeling the flow of viscoelastic fluids through porous media. AIChE Journal 1981;27(6):912–20.
- [75] Phan-Thien N, Khan MMK. Flow of an Oldroyd-type fluid through a sinusoidally corrugated tube. J Nonnewton Fluid Mech 1987;24(2):203–20.
- [76] Cheng DCH, Heywood NI. Flow in pipes. I. Flow of homogeneous fluids. Phy Technol 1984;15(5):244–51.
- [77] Lodge AS. Elastic liquids an introductory vector treatment of finite-strain polymer rheology. Academic Press; 1964.
- [78] Crochet MJ, Walters K. Numerical methods in non-Newtonian fluid mechanics. Annu Rev Fluid Mech 1983;15:241–60.
- [79] Gogarty WB, Levy GL, Fox VG. Viscoelastic effects in polymer flow through porous media. SPE 47th Annual fall meeting, 8–11 October, San Antonio, Texas. SPE 4025;1972.
- [80] Souvaliotis A, Beris AN. Applications of domain decomposition spectral collocation methods in viscoelastic flows through model porous media. J Rheol 1992;36(7):1417–53.
- [81] Podolsak AK, Tiu C, Fang TN. Flow of non-Newtonian fluids through tubes with abrupt expansions and contractions (square wave tubes). J Nonnewton Fluid Mech 1997;71(1):25–39.
- [82] Sadowski TJ. Non-Newtonian flow through porous media. PhD thesis, University of Wisconsin, 1963.
- [83] Savins JG. Non-Newtonian flow through porous media. Ind Eng Chem 1969;61 (10):18-47.
- [84] Dullien FAL. Single phase flow through porous media and pore structure. Chem Eng J 1975;10(1):1-34.
- [85] Bautista F, de Santos JM, Puig JE, Manero O. Understanding thixotropic and antithixotropic behavior of viscoelastic micellar solutions and liquid crystalline dispersions. I. The model. J Nonnewton Fluid Mech 1999;80(2): 93–113.
- [86] Tanner RI. Engineering rheology. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2000.
- [87] Hirasaki GJ, Pope GA. Analysis of factors influencing mobility and adsorption in the flow of polymer solution through porous media. SPE 47th Annual Fall Meeting, 8–11 October, San Antonio, Texas. SPE 4026;1972.
- [88] Letelier MF, Siginer DA, Caceres C. Pulsating flow of viscoelastic fluids in straight tubes of arbitrary cross-section-Part I: longitudinal field. Int J Non-Linear Mech 2002;37(2):369–93.
- [89] Escudier MP, Presti F. Pipe flow of a thixotropic liquid. J Nonnewton Fluid Mech 1996;62(2):291–306.
- [90] Dullaert K, Mewis J. Thixotropy: build-up and breakdown curves during flow. J Rheol 2005;49(6):1213–30.
- [91] Cheng DC, Evans F. Phenomenological characterization of the rheological behaviour of inelastic reversible thixotropic and antithixotropic fluids. Br J Appl Phys 1965;16(11):1599–617.
- [92] Mewis J. Thixotropy a general review. J Nonnewton Fluid Mech 1979;6 (1):1–20.
- [93] Joye DD, Poehlein GW. Characteristics of thixotropic behavior. J Rheol 1971;15 (1):51–61.
- [94] Billingham J, Ferguson JWJ. Laminar, unidirectional flow of a thixotropic fluid in a circular pipe. J Nonnewton Fluid Mech 1993;47:21–55.
- [95] Pritchard D, Pearson JRA. Viscous fingering of a thixotropic fluid in a porous medium or a narrow fracture. J Nonnewton Fluid Mech 2006;135 (2-3):117-27.
- [96] Godfrey JC. Steady shear measurement of thixotropic fluid properties. Rheol Acta 1973;12(4):540-5.



# **Personal Information**

Name: Taha Sochi. Date of birth: 05/June/1977. Current occupation: researcher in the University of London on X-ray imaging.

# Education

BEng Electronics Engineering [Middlesex University, 1999-2001] BSc Physics [Open University, 2000-2003] PhD Petroleum Engineering [Imperial College London 2004 - 2007]

# Publications

1. Taha Sochi, Martin J. Blunt. Pore-scale network modeling of Ellis and Herschel-Bulkley fluids. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, Volume 60, Issue 2, February 2008, Pages 105-124. doi:10.1016/j.petrol.2007.05.009

2. Olivier Lazzari, Simon Jacques, Taha Sochi, Paul Barnes. Reconstructive colour X-ray diffraction imaging – a novel TEDDI imaging method. The Analyst, June 2009, 134, 1802–1807. doi:10.1039/b901726 g

3. Taha Sochi. Pore-scale modeling of viscoelastic flow in porous media using a Bautista-Manero fluid. International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow, Volume 30, Issue 6, December 2009, Pages 1202-1217. doi:10.1016/j.ijheatfluidflow.2009.07.003.

4. Taha Sochi. Emissivity: A program for atomic transition calculations. Communications in Computational Physics, Vol. 7, No. 5, pp. 1118–1130, January 2010. doi:10.4208/cicp.2009. 09.125.

5. Taha Sochi, Jonathan Tennyson. A computed line list for the H<sub>2</sub>D<sup>+</sup> molecular ion. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, Volume 405 Issue 4, Pages 2345 – 2350, 2010. 6. Taha Sochi. Modeling the flow of yield-stress fluids in porous media. Transport in Porous Media. April 2010. doi:10.1007/s11242-010-9574-z. 7. Taha Sochi. Computational Techniques for Modeling Non-Newtonian Flow in Porous Media. International Journal of Modeling, Simulation, and Scientific Computing. Vol. 1, No. 2

pages 239-256, 2010. doi:10.1142/S179396231000016X